

Tuesday - Sept. 7, 1915:

Council met in regular session with President Reeves in the chair.

The roll being called, the following members answered to their names: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. Present 6, Absent 1.

On motion of Knowles, seconded by Heidman, the reading of the minutes was dispensed with.

The following communication was received and read:

Alliance, Ohio, Aug. 12, 1915.

Mr. C. O. Silver, City.

Dear Sir:

At the time of grading Ault Street I paid assessment on 48 feet of outlot No. 173, same standing in the name of Esther K. Tolerton valuation \$40.00. At the time paving assessment was made I was notified that assessment on this lot would be \$194.00 and I made protest on this assessment to City Engineer Mr. Kotte explaining that this lot was very low and the valuation would not stand this assessment. Mr. Kotte promised to call the attention of assessing committee and he afterward notified me by phone that the assessing committee had reduced the assessment on this lot to \$50.00 and I agreed to pay same and have been paying at each tax time \$5.00 on this lot which in the mean time has been given a number of 5514. Then in 1913 and 1914 it appeared on my tax receipts as 5515 and has apparently now been changed again as this June's tax receipt calls for 5513 with paving assessment for this period \$114.09, in place of \$5.00. I have taken the matter up with Canton and they claim this has been changed by some authority in Alliance and that they do not know anything further about it. I have refused to pay this tax or street assessment for the following reasons: First, I personally showed the City Engineer the lot we owned at the time this paving assessment was levied so that there can be no mistake about the proper lot. Second, after paving assessment was agreed upon at \$50.00 I spent about \$100.00 on this lot so as to make it more salable and have since sold this lot or traded same, agreeing to pay all paving assessments, and any additional assessments over and above \$50.00, which means an actual loss to me with no chances for recovery. Third, it seems that at the time this tract was plotted this lot was given a number by someone without consulting the owner and I find by a survey that it is neither lot No. 5513 or 5515 but should be 5514. Therefore I wish you would bring this before Council and have this matter straightened out so that I can pay the balance of \$50.00 assessment and have the matter straightened up.

Thanking you for giving this your attention, I am,

Yours respectfully,

H. D. TOLERTON.

Referred to the Committee on Assessments.

The following communication was received:

Alliance, Ohio, August 26, 1915.

Members of the Council,
c/o City Clerk, Alliance, Ohio.

Gentlemen: - We wish to confirm the written request made to you several weeks ago by the Executive Committee of the Alliance Chamber of Commerce, Alliance, Ohio, for quarters for this organization in the new City Hall.

As explained, what we would require, would be two or three adjoining offices with access to the Council chamber for our meetings. You are well aware that the Chamber of Commerce will be an enterprise exclusively for the benefit of the City and the people and we would consider it altogether appropriate that these quarters would be in our new City Hall.

Trusting that we will have your kind consideration, we are

Yours very truly,

THE ALLIANCE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,

W. H. MORGAN, President.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS -

C. C. Weybrecht	Max Geiger
W. H. Purcell	Walter M. Ellett
W. H. McMaster	F. A. Hoiles
Frank Transue	S. L. Sturgeon
W. J. Rose	R. M. Scranton
W. H. Ramsey	G. K. Pritchard
C. C. Mumert	H. G. Roderick

Referred to Committee on Buildings and Service Director.

The Finance Committee submitted their report recommending the payment of the Clerks salary for the month of August, \$25.00; and Councilmen's salary for month of August, \$64.00.

W. A. Heidman,
C. E. Barnard,
Milo W. Cathon.

The report of the Committee was agreed to.

Communication from H.D. Tolerton regarding street assessment.

Communication from Alliance Chamber of Commerce concerning rooms in City Hall.

Report of Finance Committee recommending payment of salaries.

TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7th, 1915 - (Continued).

Light
Committee
allowed
an expend-
iture of
\$10.00

Cathon moved, seconded by Heidman, that when Council adjourn, it adjourn to meet next Monday evening, to consider the report of the Light Committee; which motion was agreed to.

Heidman moved, seconded by Barnard, that the Light Committee be empowered to make an expenditure now to exceed \$10.00, for the purpose of investigating the light proposition; which motion was agreed to.

\$40,000
Sewage
Disposal
Bonds
awarded
Sidney
Spitzer & Co.

The City Auditor submitted the following bids for the \$40,000.00 - 5% - Sewage Disposal Bonds, dated September 15th, 1915, due September 15, 1943: received September 7th, 1915.

On motion of Heidman, seconded by Cathon, the bid of Sidney Spitzer & Co., \$42,124.00, same being the highest and best bid, said bonds were awarded Sidney Spitzer and Company of Toledo, Ohio.

THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS WERE INTRODUCED:

Res.1920
Introduced.

Resolution No. 1920.

Mr. Binkley:- A resolution to construct certain specific sidewalks; was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Sidewalks.

Ord.1999
Introduced.

ORDINANCE NO. 1999.

Mr. Binkley:- An ordinance authorizing the lease for a term of _____ years, of the premises situated on the east side of Main Street in the City of Alliance, Ohio, under the south end of the Main Street Viaduct across the Pennsylvania R.R., commonly known as the Aikens Barber Shop; said premises not being needed for any municipal purpose. Read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Bridges and Buildings.

Ord. 1994.
Introduced.

ORDINANCE NO. 1994.

Mr. Knowles:- An ordinance to amend Section 3 of Ordinance 1942, "An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of South Morgan Avenue and East Milner Street by constructing Sanitary Sewer No. 145," passed June 7th, 1915; Read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Assessments and Finance.

Ord. 1995
Introduced.

ORDINANCE NO. 1995.

Mr. Knowles:- An ordinance to amend Sections 1 and 3 of Ordinance 1944, "An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of North Union Avenue between the north line of Vine Street and north corporation line by grading, draining, curbing, and paving with brick block", passed June 7th, 1915. Read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Assessments and Finance.

Ord. 1996
Introduced.

ORDINANCE NO. 1996.

Mr. Knowles:- An ordinance to amend Sections 1 and 3 and 6 of Ordinance No. 1941, passed June 7th, 1915 "An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of South Union Avenue between the south line of Main Street and south line of State street by resurfacing with asphalt or tarvia or their equal", passed June 7th, 1915. Read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Assessments and Finance.

Ord. 1997.
Introduced

ORDINANCE NO. 1997.

Mr. Knowles:- An ordinance to amend Sections 1, 3 and 6 of Ordinance No. 1943 "An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of North Union Avenue between Ely Street and Wayne Street by repaving with brick blocks", passed June 7th, 1915. Read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Assessments and Finance.

Ord. 1998.
Introduced.

ORDINANCE NO. 1998.

Mr. Knowles:- An ordinance to amend Sections 1, 3 and 6 of Ordinance No. 1945 "An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of Rush and Noble Streets between the east lot line of Fifth Street and west lot line of Webb Avenue by grading, draining, and paving with brick block", passed June 7th, 1915. Read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Assessments and Finance.

On motion of Cathon, Ryan was excused for non-attendance at this meeting.

Drays on
Linden
Avenue.

The matter of drays standing upon Linden Avenue, was brought up and discussed and on motion the matter was referred to the City Solicitor and Director of Public Service and the Mayor for adjustment.


The Finance Committee and Assessment Committee submitted Ordinances 1994, 1995, 1996, 1997, 1998 and recommended their passage.

W. A. Heidman,
C. E. Barnard,
Milo W. Cathon.

The report of the Committee was agreed to.

Bids received for the City of Alliance
\$40,000 - 5% - Sewage Disposal Bonds
Dated Sept. 15th, 1915, due Sept. 15th, 1943.
Monday September 7th, 1915.

Mayer, Deppe, Walter,	Cincinnati, O.	\$41,627.00
Weil, Roth Co.	"	41,616.00
Seasongood & Mayer	"	41,760.00
Fields, Richards Co.	"	41,844.00
The Brighton German Bank Co.	"	41,781.00
The Tillotson-Wolcott Co.	Cleveland, O.	41,420.00
Hoehler, Cummings & Prudden	Toledo, O.	41,892.00
Sidney Spitzer & Co.	"	42,124.00
Breed, Elliot, Harrison	Cincinnati, O.	41,396.00



TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 7th, 1915 - (Concluded).

Ord.1997
Passed.

Knowles moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1997 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the Ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the Ordinance was passed.

Ord.1995
Passed.

Heidman moved, seconded by Knowles, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1995 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the Ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the Ordinance was passed.

Ord.1994
Passed.

Knowles moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1994 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the Ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the Ordinance was passed.

Ord.1998
Passed.

Heidman moved, seconded by Knowles, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1998 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the Ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the Ordinance was passed.

Ord. 1996
Passed.

Knowles moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1996 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the Ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 6, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles. So the ordinance was passed.

On motion of Knowles, seconded by Heidman, Council adjourned.

ATTEST:

Chas. Silver
Clerk.

Arthur J. Feeley
President of Council.