

Monday - June 7, 1915.

Council met in regular session, pursuant to adjournment with President Reeves in the chair.

The roll being called, the following members answered to their names: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. Present 7, Absent 0.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

The following bids for the \$3,250.00 City Jail Bonds, \$6,250.00 City Hall Bonds, \$6,000.00 Water Improvement Bonds, were submitted:

BIDDERS	For the \$3,250.00 City Jail	For the \$6,250.00 City Hall	For the \$6,000.00 Water Impr.
Seasongood & Mayer, Cincinnati, Ohio.	3,316.00	6,377.00	6,122.00
Field, Longstretch & Richards, Cincinnati, Ohio.	3,295.00	6,370.00	6,118.00
Breed, Elliott & Harrison, The Provident Savings Bank & Trust Co. Cincinnati, Ohio.	3,330.60	6,405.00	6,143.40
Tillotson, Wolcott Co., Cleveland, Ohio.	3,284.78	6,379.38	6,124.20
G. E. Denison & Co., Cleveland, Ohio.	3,262.00	6,272.00	6,021.00
Sidney, Spitzer & Co., Toledo, Ohio.	3,321.00	6,388.50	6,128.40

City Jail,
City Hall,
and
Water Impr.
Bonds
awarded to
Breed, Elliott,
& Harrison,
and
Provident
Savings Bank
& Trust Co.

On motion of Heidman, seconded by Knowles, the bid of Breed, Elliott & Harrison, and The Provident Savings Bank & Trust Co., for the \$3,250.00 - 5% - City Jail Bonds was accepted and their bid being the highest and best bid, the bonds were awarded them.

Heidman moved, seconded by Knowles, that the bid of Breed, Elliott & Harrison, and The Provident Savings Bank & Trust Co., for the \$6,250.00 - 5% - City Hall Bonds be accepted, their bid being the highest and best bid, which motion was agreed to.

Heidman moved, seconded by Knowles, that the bid of Breed, Elliott & Harrison, and The Provident Savings Bank & Trust Co., for the \$6,000.00 - 5% - Water Improvement Bonds be accepted, their bid being the highest and best bid, which motion was agreed to.

The following petition was presented to Council:

To the Council of the City of Alliance,
State of Ohio:

Petition
from owners
of property
in the
Vicinity of
Warehouse
Street,
for the
vacation of
certain
strip of
ground.

The undersigned owners of Lots in the City of Alliance in the immediate vicinity of Warehouse Street from the west line of North Seneca Ave. to the east line of North Freedom Avenue, respectfully petition your Honorable Body that the strip of ground on the south side of said Warehouse Street between the north lines of Lots Nos. 540, 539, 538, 537, 536, 535, 534 and 533 and the present south curb line of said Warehouse Street be vacated between the points named, for the reason that it is no longer of use to the public and its vacation will not be detrimental to the general interest.

NAME OF OWNER	NO. OF LOT.
Alliance Bank Co., H. F. Bochecker	533
E. J. Galbreath, Agt. for Atwell Heirs	535 - 536 33-33/100 consisting of parts.
J. A. Zang	537 E. Half
John C. Sharer	539 E. Half
The Jos. Schlitz Brewing Co., by J. C. Sharer	539 W. Half
H. H. Shafer	538 W. Half
Jonathan Myers	538 E. Half
Ivy C. Cook Agt.	535 W. Half
E. P. Marchand, Agt. for Mrs. Marchand	536 E. 25 ft.
Roscoe T. Sharer	540
J. T. Huston	534 E. Half
Mrs. Ada F. Barr, Per Vale	534 W. Half
Alliance Gas & Power Co., W. J. Rose	537 W. Half

Referred to the Committee of the Whole.

MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1915, (continued).

On motion of Heidman, seconded by Binkley, the Clerk was instructed to advertise said petition for a period of six weeks at the expense of the Petitioners, which motion was agreed to.

The following communication was presented to Council:

Alliance, Ohio, May 24, 1915.

To the Council of the City of Alliance, Ohio:

Gentlemen:

The undersigned represents that he is the owner of several lots fronting on the West Line of S. Freedom Ave. between College and State Streets; that he is desirous of providing for the proper drainage of the surface of the land in that vicinity, including his own property.

He has been informed that, heretofore, the City Council has furnished half of the tile required to furnish drainage in that part of the City.

He respectfully requests, therefore, that the City furnish one-half of the tile necessary to afford proper drainage in said Locality.

Respectfully submitted,

C. L. WATSON.

Referred to the Director of Public Service.

The following communication was addressed to Council:

Alliance, Ohio, May 24, 1915.

To the Honorable Council of the City of Alliance, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

The undersigned hereby petitions your honorable body to place sufficient tile across his Lot at No. 1845 South Freedom Avenue, Alliance, Ohio, to take care of the surface water that comes across Freedom Avenue and thence on his lot. Said Avenue is about to be graded, and in as much as this matter of furnishing $\frac{1}{2}$ the tile has been customary in other cases of this kind, your petitioner asks it in his case.

LOUIS ERISEY.

Referred to the Director of Public Service.

Communication from Mr. Erisey regarding drainage.

Mr. Wilcox-South Mahoning.

Mr. James W. Wilcox and others addressed Council on the subject of the South Mahoning Avenue Improvement, which is pending.

The following communication was received from Mayor Barnum:

Alliance, Ohio, June 7, 1915.

The Honorable City Council, Alliance, Ohio.

Gentlemen:

In reference to the reply of the Light Committee and Finance Committee to my recommendation on the light question, I wish to explain to the Council a few things.

When the Light Committee was appointed I told one of them that I had a course lined out and anything I could do to assist I would be glad to do and we talked freely on the subject. The night I read my recommendation I read it to this member of the Committee and he said "It is alright"; and I read it.

Now after showing my willingness to assist in any way I could and showing them every courtesy I could, then to get the reply I did and being accused of not having ordinary sense, to say I was hurt is putting it mildly.

Now I wish to ask this committee a few questions.

First - They said it would cost \$2,500.00 to have the Light Plant appraised. These are Mr. Rose's figures, are they not?

Second - They said Cincinnati paid \$10,000.00 to have the Light Plant appraised in their City and all they had left was the experience. This is what Mr. Rose said, is it not? If not, the source of their information was not reliable. The facts are that while Cincinnati paid to have their light plant appraised their experience was the lopping off of thirteen (13) million of watered stock of which Cincinnati was paying for at the rate of 6 or 8 per cent, and by so doing gave to the people of Cincinnati a fair rate for light.

As to it costing \$2,500.00 to appraise this plant, I want to say it will not cost $\frac{1}{5}$ of this amount to appraise this plant and if this amount was appropriated at once, I have good reason to believe that this could be accomplished in six weeks.

Mayor Barnum's reply to the Light Committee.

MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1915, (Continued).

THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS WERE INTRODUCED:

ORDINANCE NO. 1942.

Mr. Ryan: -An Ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of South Morgan Avenue and East Milner Street by constructing Sanitary Sewer No. 145, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Streets & Alleys.

ORDINANCE NO. 1945.

Mr. Ryan:- An Ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of Rush and Noble Streets, between the E. L. L. of Fifth Street and the west L.L. of Webb Avenue, by grading, draining, and paving with brick block, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Streets & Alleys.

ORDINANCE NO. 1941.

Mr. Ryan:- An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of South Union Avenue between the south line of Main Street and the South line of State Street by resurfacing with asphalt or tarvia, or their equal, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

ORDINANCE NO. 1944.

Mr. Ryan:- An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of North Union Avenue, between the north line of Vine Street and the North corporation line, by grading, draining, and paving with brick block, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

ORDINANCE NO. 1943.

Mr. Ryan:- An ordinance to levy special assessments for the improvement of North Union Avenue, between Ely Street and Wayne Street by repaving with brick block, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

ORDINANCE NO. 1946.

Mr. Heidman:- An ordinance authorizing the Director of Public Safety to proceed with the erection and equipping of the City Jail, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Finance.

ORDINANCE NO. 1951.

Mr. Ryan:- Authorizing the City Hall Commission to proceed with the erection of the City Hall, and to make an additional expenditure, was read the first time.

Referred to the Committee on Finance.

Heidman moved, seconded by Ryan, that the order of business be suspended and that Council take up the fourth order of business, the reports of standing Committees. Which motion was agreed to.

The Finance Committee submitted Ordinances 1946 and 1951 and recommended their passage.

W. A. HEIDMAN,
CHAS. E. BARNARD,
Milo W. GATHON.

The report was agreed to.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys submitted their report recommending the passage of Ordinances No. 1942, 1945, 1941, 1944, 1943.

M. C. RYAN,
MILO W. GATHON,
C. E. BARNARD.

The report of the Committee was agreed to.

The Committee on Platting submitted their report recommending the passage of Ordinances No. 1947, 1949, 1950 and 1948.

C. E. BARNARD,
W. A. HEIDMAN,
J. H. BINKLEY.

The report of the Committee was agreed to.

On motion of Barnard, seconded by Binkley, the order of business was suspended, and Council proceeded with the consideration of ordinances and resolutions.

MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1915, (Continued).

ORDINANCE NO. 1942 was taken up and considered.

Knowles moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1942 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1945 was taken up and considered.

Ryan moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1945 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1941 was taken up and considered.

Knowles moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1941 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1944 was taken up and considered.

Knowles moved, seconded by Ryan, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1944 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1943 was taken up and considered.

Binkley moved, seconded by Grubb, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1943 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1946 was taken up and considered.

I, Chas. O. Silver, City Auditor, do hereby certify that the money required by Ordinance No. 1946 amounting to \$3,250.00 is in the Treasury and credited to the City Jail Fund and not appropriated for any other purpose.

CHAS. O. SILVER,
City Auditor.

Knowles moved, seconded by Binkley, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1946 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance was read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

MONDAY, JUNE 7, 1915, (Concluded).

ORDINANCE NO. 1951 was taken up and considered.

I, Chas. O. Silver, City Auditor, do hereby certify that the money required for by Ordinance 1951 is in the Treasury and credited to the City Hall Fund and not appropriated for any other purpose.

CHAS. O. SILVER,
City Auditor.

Knowles moved, seconded by Binkley, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1951 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1947 was taken up and considered.

Knowles moved, seconded by Heidman, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1947 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1949 was taken up and considered.

Knowles moved, seconded by Binkley, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1949 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1950 was taken up and considered.

Heidman moved, seconded by Ryan, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1950 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

ORDINANCE NO. 1948 was taken up and considered.

Knowles moved, seconded by Cathon, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1948 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

On motion of Binkley, seconded by Ryan, Council adjourned.

Attest:

Chas. Silver
Clerk.

Arthur H. Feeney
President of Council.