

MONDAY, MARCH 1st, 1915.

Council met in regular session with President Reeves in the chair.

The roll being called, the following members answered to their names: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. Present 7, Absent 0.

The minutes of the previous meeting were read and approved.

-----  
The Mayor submitted the following communication:

Alliance, Ohio, February 24th, 1915.

To the Honorable Council,  
Of the City of Alliance, Ohio.

I herewith return to your honorable body Resolution No. 1884 with my veto attached. My reasons for so doing are as follows:

Mayor's  
Veto of  
Resolution  
No. 1884.

1st. - The office of Sealer of Measures and Weights was created for the protection of the citizens of Alliance. By abolishing this office, as you request, and handing it over to the County man to see to in order to save a few dollars, would be in my judgment removing this protection to a very great extent; and what little would be saved by so doing would be at the expense of the many, and there would be no City control over the County Sealer.

2nd.- That the near by towns, such as Marlboro, Atwater, Limaville, Lexington, Bolton, Homeworth, and Maximo bring their scales and measures to Alliance for adjustment, which are all out of our jurisdiction. Yet Mr. Osborn has served all of these towns and has not received one cent for the same. And in my judgment I think it better to have these towns come into Alliance and employ our Sealer than to send them to Canton to find the County Sealer. For while here they are bound to patronize our City in other ways, and our merchants certainly need all the country trade they can get.

Let us boost for a greater and better Alliance, for, in and by the citizens of Alliance.

Respectfully submitted,

W. P. BARNUM, Mayor.

-----  
The following petition was presented to Council:

Alliance, Ohio, March 1st, 1915.

To the Council of the City of Alliance,  
State of Ohio.

Petition  
from  
W. H. Rickard  
asking for  
Vacation of  
Alley.

The undersigned, owners of lots in the City of Alliance, Ohio, in the immediate vicinity of the alley east of Apple Avenue from Beech Street to north Corporation line, respectfully petition your Honorable Body that alley east of Apple Avenue may be vacated between the points named, for the reason that it is no longer of use to the public and said vacation is necessary for the erection of a school building and its vacation will not be detrimental to the general interest.

W. H. Rickard, Owner of Lot Number 5205  
" " " " " " " " 5206  
" " " " " " " " 5207  
" " " " " " " " O.L.111

Referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

-----  
Mr. Ryan presented the following petition:

To the Council of the City of Alliance,  
State of Ohio.

We, the undersigned, being the owners of the number of feet of property set opposite our names below, and being three-fourths or more in interest of the owners of property abutting upon Vincent street, between the west line of South Union Avenue, and the west line of South Aultman Avenue, respectfully petition your honorable body for the improvement of said street between the points aforesaid by paving, grading, and draining, and that the entire cost of said improvement, except only such portion thereof as is by law chargeable against the municipality, be assessed per foot front upon the lots and lands bounding and abutting upon said Vincent street, between the points aforesaid.

And the undersigned and each of them consent and request that said assessments be levied and collected without reference to the value of the property of subscribers hereto, and waive all benefits of the statute limiting assessment to 33 1/3 per cent of the actual value of property assessed; and we and each of us agree to pay the assessments upon the lots and lands bounding and abutting upon said Vincent street as levied by the Council of the City of Alliance, Ohio; said assessments to be paid in ten semi-annual installments, proportionate to the whole assessment, or in cash at the

MONDAY, MARCH 1st, 1915, (continued).

option of the owner of property assessed, in the manner provided by law for the levy and collection of assessments.

OWNER.	NUMBER OF FEET FRONT.	LOT NUMBER.
S. D. & C. A. Lane	1002	2792-2793-2794-2875-2795- 2796-2797-2798-2876-2799- 2800-2801-2802-2877-2803
Max Geiger & Morris W. Geiger	570	2819-2818-2817-2816-2815- 2814-2812-2811
W. C. Manchester	61.6	5505
Mary J. Sourbeck	61.6	5506
W. M. Ellett	187½	2808-2809-2810
Mrs. J. H. McGregor	63½	E. Half 2821
W. F. Scott	61.6	5508
Chas. H. Pannair	67½	2820
Mrs. Anna C. Richards	60	2807
Harry E. Blythe	63½	West Half 2821
Albert Wafler	60	2879
B. F. Yanney, By W.M. Ellett		
Mrs. B. F. " " " " "	200	

Referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

The Finance Committee submitted their report recommending the payment of the following bills:

Report of Finance Committee.	The Leader Printing Company -	Publication of Ordinances -	\$58.63
	" " " "	Notice to non-resident property owners,	\$6.33
	" " " "	Bond Sale	13.24
	" " " "	Legal notices	4.05
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	20.62
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	27.78
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	11.91
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	14.13
	Review Publishing Company	Publication of Ordinances	20.64
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	58.64
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	14.14
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	14.02
	" " " "	Printing Bonds	43.75
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	27.78
	" " " "	Publication of Ordinances	11.91
Franzo D. Miller	Stamps	5.00	
Clerk's Salary		25.00	

The question being on agreeing to the report of the Committee, the report was agreed to.

W. A. Heidman,  
C. E. Barnard,  
Milo W. Cathon.

Report  
of  
Committee  
on  
Streets  
&  
Alleys.

The Committee on Streets and Alleys submitted their report recommending the passage of the following Resolutions: Number 1765 - 1877 - 1878 - 1886 - 1887.

M. C. Ryan,  
Milo W. Cathon,  
C. E. Barnard.

Finance  
Committee.

The Finance Committee submitted Ordinance No. 1880 and recommended passage.

W. A. Heidman,  
C. E. Barnard,  
Milo W. Cathon.

The report of the Committee was agreed to.

THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS WERE TAKEN UP AND CONSIDERED:

Resolution No. 1765.

Mr. Grubb:- Declaring it necessary to improve South Seneca Avenue between the south line of Summit and the north line of Milner, by grading, draining, curbing and paving with brick block. Was read the second time.

Resolution No. 1877.

Mr. Ryan: - Declaring it necessary to improve Wayne Street between Freedom Avenue and Lincoln Avenue by <sup>grading</sup> draining, curbing and paving a thirty foot roadway with vitrified brick block. Was read the second time.

Resolution No. 1878.

Mr. Ryan: - Declaring it necessary to improve North Freedom Avenue between Walnut Street and Wayne Street by grading, curbing, draining and paving a thirty foot roadway

MONDAY, MARCH 1st, 1915, (continued).

with vitrified brick block. Was read the second time.

Resolution No. 1886.

Mr. Binkley:- Declaring it necessary to improve South Freedom Avenue between College Street and State Street by grading. Was read the second time.

Grubb moved, seconded by Barnard that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and the resolution read the <sup>2nd</sup> third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Heidman, Grubb, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and Resolution No. 1886 read the <sup>2nd</sup> third time.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the Resolution was passed.

Heidman moved, seconded by Knowles, that the emergency clause be inserted in the resolution to grade South Freedom Avenue between College street and State street, which motion was agreed to.

<sup>was passed</sup> Cathon moved, seconded by Knowles, that the vote whereby Resolution No. 1886 be reconsidered, which motion was agreed to. The question being on <sup>the passage of</sup> Resolution 1886, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 0, Nays 7. Those who voted in the affirmative were none. Those who voted in the negative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the resolution not having received the statutory majority was lost.

On motion of Heidman, seconded by Knowles, the ordinance to improve South Freedom Avenue between College Street and State Street, was made an emergency ordinance.

Resolution No. ~~1885~~ <sup>1895</sup>

Mr. Binkley: - Declaring it necessary to improve South Freedom Avenue ~~Avenue~~ between College Street and State Street, <sup>by grading</sup> was read the first time.

Grubb moved, seconded by Barnard, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and the resolution read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the resolution read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the resolution, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the resolution was passed.

Ordinance No. 1880.

Mr. Heidman: - To issue bonds for the purpose of erecting and equipping the City Hall, was read the second time.

Heidman moved, seconded by Cathon, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and the ordinance be read the third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 5, Nays 1. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Cathon, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. Mr. Binkley voted in the negative. So the ordinance was passed.

THE FOLLOWING ORDINANCES AND RESOLUTIONS WERE INTRODUCED:

Resolution No. ~~1895~~ <sup>1917</sup>

Mr. Ryan: - Declaring it necessary to improve Vincent Street between Union Avenue and west line of Aultman Avenue by grading, draining, curbing and paving, was read the first time and referred to the Committee on Streets and Alleys.

Ordinance No. 1889.

Mr. Heidman:- To issue bonds for the purpose of paying the City's portion of the improvement of South Union Avenue from the south line of Main Street to the South line of State street, was read the first time and referred to the Finance Committee.

MONDAY, MARCH 1st, 1915, (continued).

ORDINANCE NO. 1892.

Mr. Heidman: - To make supplementary appropriations to the regular semi-annual appropriation ordinance, was read the first time and referred to the Finance Committee.

ORDINANCE NO. 1890.

Mr. Heidman: - To authorize the Director of Public Service to enter into a contract to purchase water pipe and fittings, was read the first time and referred to the Finance Committee.

ORDINANCE NO. 1891.

Mr. Heidman: - To make supplementary appropriations to increase the amount appropriated in the regular semi-annual appropriation ordinance, was read the first time and referred to the Finance Committee.

By unanimous consent the Finance Committee submitted the following ordinances and recommended their passage: Ordinances No. 1892, 1890, 1889, and 1891.

W. A. Heidman,  
C. E. Barnard,  
Milo W. Cathon.

The report of the Committee was agreed to.

Knowles moved, seconded by Barnard, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1892 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

Knowles moved, seconded by Grubb, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1890 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

AUDITOR'S DEPARTMENT.

Number 4.

March 1st, 1915.

I here certify that the money required for the contract as provided for by Ordinance No. 1890, amounting to \$1,000.00, is in the Treasury and credited to the Water Fund and not appropriated for any other purpose.

CHAS. O. SILVER,  
City Auditor.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

Binkley moved, seconded by Knowles, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance 1889 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the ordinance the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

Knowles moved, seconded by Barnard, that the statutory rule requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 1891 be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted: Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the rule was suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time.

MONDAY, MARCH 1st, 1915, (concluded).

The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted, Yeas 7, Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were: Messrs. Barnard, Binkley, Cathon, Grubb, Heidman, Knowles, Ryan. So the ordinance was passed.

-----

The City Solicitor stated that he and the Service Director had been named as a committee to report on the leasing of ground at the East Main Street Viaduct for a fruit stand. He announced that business men object and the Committee recommended that it be not leased.

-----

On motion of Heidman, seconded by Barnard, Council adjourned.

ATTEST:

Chas. Silver  
Clerk.

Arthur A. Feenan  
President of Council.