

June 3<sup>rd</sup> 1912.

The council met in regular session with President Hull in the chair.

The roll being called the following ~~met~~ members answered to their names.

Messrs. Jarman, Farmer, Gubb, Lower, Voss, Yanny Present 6 Absent 1.

~~The Clerk~~

The minutes of the previous meeting was read and approved.

The Clerk of Council reported that 27 building permits have been issued since Jan 1<sup>st</sup> 1912.

Clerk of Council brought up the matter of contracting for the publication of ordinance.

The matter was referred to the Printing committee and City Clerk.

Mr. C. H. Brosius addressed council on the subject of the bad condition of Vine Street and urged immediate action on the improvement of this street.

Mr. A. R. Brown addressed council on the subject of the bad condition of Wayne Street, and urged immediate action on putting this street in good condition.

A Petition was presented to council protesting against the constructing of a switch to be built by J. J. Robertson between East Cambridge St. and East Oxford Street.

On motion, the petition was ordered to be filed and attached to the ordinance.

Mrs. Marguerite Oeffner presented claim for damages on the N. Arch St. Subway Improvement in the sum of \$1500.00 referred to the City Solicitor.

W. Garland, Administrator of the Garland Estate presented claim for damages to property abutting on the N. Arch St. Improvement in the sum of \$1,000.00 referred to Solicitor.

Mary E. Hill presented claim for damages to ~~apport~~ property abutting on the N. Arch St. Subway Improvement.

referred to the City Solicitor.

Mr. A. D. Wallace presented claim for damages to property abutting on N. Arch St. Subway Improvement in the sum of \$1,000.00

Referred to City Solicitor W. P. Wilson. Sara Mullett, Executor of last will of Samuel Warner, deceased presented claim for property abutting on the N. Arch Street Improvement in the sum of \$5500.00

Referred to City Solicitor. The Finance committee and City Auditor reported that the following bids were received for the following bonds Friday May 31<sup>st</sup> 1912.

For the \$4,000-4 $\frac{1}{2}$ %-Fifthstreet Bonds, the following bids were received:

		Premium )
The Security Savings Bank & Trust Co.	Toledo	
Tillotson & Wolcott	Cleveland	\$215.60
Bardo, Scott & Co.	Columbus	212.00
Stacy & Braum	Toledo	223.80
Hayden & Miller	Cleveland	200.00
Otis & Hough	Cleveland	200.00
First National Bank	Cleveland	244.50
Mayer, Deppe & Walter	Cincinnati	218.80
Weil, Roth & Co.	Cincinnati	
The Davies Bertram & Co.	Cincinnati	215.00
Seasongood & Mayer	Cincinnati	189.00
Breed, Harrison	Cincinnati	

For the \$3,400.00-4 $\frac{1}{2}$ %- Arch street subway Bonds:

	Toledo	
The Security Savings Bank & Trust Co.		
Tillotson & Wolcott	Cleveland	\$ 183.66
Bardo, Scott & Co.	Columbus	161.50
Stacy & Braum	Toledo	181.48
Hayden & Miller	Cleveland	170.00
Otis & Hough	Cleveland	175.00
First National Bank	Cleveland	207.70
Mayer & Deppe & Walter	Cincinnati	185.98
Weil, Roth & Co.	Cincinnati	
Davies-Bertram & Co.	Cincinnati	180.00
Seasongood & Mayer	Cincinnati	159.00

For the \$7,800.00-4 $\frac{1}{2}$ %- City portion Bonds:

	Toledo	
The Security Savings Bank & Trust Co.		
Tillotson & Wolcott	Cleveland	\$ 393.70
Bardo & Scott	Columbus	350.00
Stacy & Braum	Toledo	403.33
Hayden & Miller	Cleveland	360.00
Otis & Hough	Cleveland	370.00
First National Bank	Cleveland	476.75
Mayer, Deppe, & Walter	Cincinnati	392.73
Weil, Roth & Co.	Cincinnati	
Davies- Bertram & Co.	Cincinnati	408.00
Seasongood & Mayer	Cincinnati	336.00

For the \$16,300.00-5% Property portion Bonds:

	Toledo	
The Security Savings Bank & Trust Co.		\$286.80
Tillotson & Wolcott	Cleveland	330.93
Bardo & Scott	Columbus	287.00
Stacy & Braum	Toledo	361.20
Hayden & Miller	Cleveland	280.00
Otis & Hough	Cleveland	300.00
First National Bank	Cleveland	307.25
Mayer, Deppe & Walter	Cincinnati	285.25
Weil, Roth & Co.	Cincinnati	269.00
Seasongood & Mayer	Cincinnati	302.25
Breed Harrison & Co.	Cincinnati	269.00

For the \$15400.00-5% - Property portion Bonds, the following bids were received:

The Security Savings Bank & Trust Co.	Toledo	\$251.50
Tillotson & Wolcott	Cleveland	312.94
Bardo Scott & Co.	Columbus	313.00
Stacy, & Braun	Toledo	322.00
Hayden & Miller	Cleveland	265.00
Stis. & Hough	Cleveland	282.00
First National Bank	Cleveland	290.25
Mayer, Deppe & Walter	Cincinnati	269.50
Weil, Roth & Co.	Cincinnati	252.20
Davies- Bertram Co.	Cincinnati	271.00
Seasongood & Mayer	Cincinnati	279.00
Breed-Harrison & Co.	Cincinnati	255.00

Yarney moved, seconded by Voss that the bonds be awarded the First National Bank of Cleveland Ohio, their bid being the highest and best bid.

The question being on agreeing to the motion. The Yeas and Nays were taken, and resulted Yeas 6 Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Janda, Farmer, Gubb, Lower, Voss, Yarney.

So the motion was agreed to. Report of Standing committee. The com on Railroads. Telegraphs submitted their report recommending the passage of Ord. 1424.

Peter - Voss  
L. L. Lower

The question being on agreeing to the report of the com.

Which report was agreed to.

The Special Committee to whom was referred the matter of investigation the brick on the West Broadway improvement submitted the following report.

Alliance, Ohio. May 16. 1912

To the Honorable City Council,

Alliance O

Gentlemen,

The committee appointed by the Honorable Body to inspect the bricks on West Broadway, as per objections attached, beg to submit the following report:

We visited West Broadway by the Honorable Body to inspect the bricks on West Broadway, as per objections attached beg to submit the following report:

We visited West Broadway and examined the bricks there from one end to the other.

We broke about fifty blocks to demonstrate their uniformity, both in mixture and uniformity, which we found very satisfactory. We then picked out two sets of brick for testing (ten of each)

Test No. 1. was a very dark, flinty like brick. Test No. 2, was of a lighter color but not burned quite so hard.

Test No. 1. gave an abrasion loss of 27-75/100% with an absorption gain of 1-1/10%

Test No. 2 gave an abrasion loss of 75-93/100% with an absorption gain of 2-2/10%

The tests very clearly show that the bricks highest in abrasion are lowest in the absorption.

The specifications covering the brick for the street do not specify any specified test that they should undergo but should be like samples furnished the Service Department when bidding for the contract. All we can hold is that these bricks or blocks pass a reasonable test which we believe they will do.

Regarding the absorption test would state they are better in the respect than has been required in any test which is a very vital point, as this is what causes the brick to deteriorate and crumble, due to the absorbing moisture and then freezing and bursting the brick.

Taking the test into due consideration, we therefore recommend that these bricks be used, with proper culling when they are being laid, as there are certain bricks laying along the street that are not fit to use, which the Service Department agree to cull out.

Yours respectfully

Committee

H. C. Farmer

G. G. Gower

J. C. Guibb.

The question being on agree to the report of the com.  
which report was agreed to.

## Reading of Ordinance

Ord. No. 1413.

Mr Farmer. An ordinance to regulate the selling, furnishing and giving away of intoxicating liquors as a beverage, and places where same are sold, furnished and given away in the city of Alliance, State of Ohio, was read the second time.

Ord. No. 1441

Mr Farmer - For an agreement with the Alliance City Hospital Association, a corporation not for profit, organized in the city of Alliance, O. for charitable purposes, was read the second time.

Voss moved, seconded by Yarnney that Ord No 1441, be amended by striking out Sec. 6.

Which motion was agreed to. Yarnney moved, seconded by Voss that when we adjourn, we adjourn to meet next Monday evening.

Which motion was agreed to.

Discussion of Yarnney. ~~Advised~~

~~Attest~~  
Chas. Selway  
Clerk

President

Res. No. 1431

Mr Yarnney - Resolution to issue bonds in the sum of \$40,000.00 to extend the time of payment of certain indebtedness was read the first time.

Farmer moved, seconded by Yarnney that the Statutory rule requiring ordinance and resolutions to be read on three different days, be suspended and the resolution read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rule.

The Yeas and Nays were taken, and resulted Yeas 6. Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Barnard, Farmer, Gubb, Lower, Voss, Yarnney.

So the rule was suspended and the resolution read the second and third time.

The question being on the passage of the resolution. The Yeas and Nays were taken, and resulted Yeas 6. Nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Barnard, Farmer, Gubb, Lower, Voss, Yarnney.

So the resolution was passed.

On motion of Yarnney. Council adjourned.

Attest  
Chas. Selway  
Clerk

President