

MONDAY EVENING MAY 16th, 1921.

Council met in regular session with President Barnard in the chair.

The roll being called, the following members answered to their names: Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. Present 6, absent 1.

On motion of H. T. Miller seconded by Lower, the reading of the minutes was dispensed with.

Mr. D. F. Manfull addressed Council upon the matter of the extension of West Broadway Street.

Mr. R. M. Scranton also addressed Council upon the same subject.

On motion of Ryan seconded by H. T. Miller the proposed extension of West Broadway Street from Union Avenue to Haines Avenue was referred to the Finance committee, Engineer and City Solicitor.

Mr. R. C. Hopkins, President of the Alliance City Hospital Commission presented to Council a plat of three lots south of the hospital building with the proposition that the city purchase same. Mr. Hopkins stated that the commission and the architect in making the ground plans for the addition found that sooner or later it would be necessary to ask the property owners to the south to vacate a portion of their property to give to the hospital the proper grounds for its convenience. He stated that he had secured an option on three properties for thirty days dated from May 4th. He stated that one of the properties could be bought for \$7,000.00, another for \$8,000.00 and a vacant lot for \$1200.00

J. H. Miller moved seconded by Lower that the matter be referred to the Council as a whole and that they meet Thursday Evening to look over the properties, which motion was agreed to.

The committee on streets and alleys submitted their report recommending the passage of Resolutions 85-D and 86-D.

Report of committee on streets and alleys.

M. Ryan,
J. H. Miller,
H. T. Miller.

The report of the committee was agreed to.

The following ordinances and resolutions were introduced:

Ordinance No. 92-D

Mr. Ryan:

An ordinance to vacate Parkway Blvd. between the north line of College Hill Allotment and the south line of Out Lot #310 in the City of Alliance, Ohio, was read the first time and referred to the streets and alleys committee for immediate action.

Ordinance No. 90-D

Mr. H. T. Miller:

Declaring it necessary to improve Rockhill Avenue south of Vine Street by constructing Sanitary sewer #181 was read the first time and referred to the sewer committee for immediate action.

Resolution No. 38-D

Mr. H. T. Miller:

Declaring it necessary to improve South Linden Avenue between College Street and alley north of State Street by grading and constructing Sanitary Sewer No. 180 was read the first time and referred to the Sewer committee for immediate action.

Ordinance No. 88-D

Mr. Ryan:

To authorize an assistant to the Clerk of Council to serve street and sidewalk improvement notices was read the first time and referred to the streets and alleys committee for immediate action.

Ordinance No. 93-D

Mr. Ryan:

An ordinance making it unlawful for any person, firm, partnership or corporation wither as principal, officer, agent or employe to use or occupy any street, alley or other public place in the City of Alliance, Ohio, with

Extension of West Broadway referred to Finance com. Engineer and City Solicitor.

Mr. Hopkins presents plat of three lots to Council with the proposition that the city purchase same for addition to city hospital. Referred to Council as a whole.

Introduction of Ord. 92-D

Introduction of Ord. 90-D

Introduction of Res. 38-D

Introduction of Ord. 88-D

Introduction of Ord. 93-D

any motor bus for the carriage of persons for hire and operating for the purpose of affording a means of local and interurban transportation similar to that ordinarily afforded by street railways by indiscriminately accepting and discharging such persons as may offer themselves for transportation along the way or course on which it is used or operated or may be running without first obtaining a license from the city of Alliance; providing for the giving of indemnity as security to the public; providing for the filing of the designation of the route intended to be traveled by such vehicle; providing regulations for the conducting of such business and prescribing a penalty for the violation thereof was read the first time and referred to the committee of the whole, with Mr. Hall as chairman.

Ordinance No. 94-D

Mr. Ryan:

An ordinance making it unlawful for any person, firm, partnership, or corporation either as principal, officer, agent, or employe to use or occupy any street, alley, or other public place in the city of Alliance, Ohio, with any motor bus for the carriage of persons for hire and operating for the purpose of affording a means of local and interurban transportation similar to that ordinarily afforded by street railways by indiscriminately accepting and discharging such persons, as may offer themselves for transportation along the way or course on which it is used or operated or may be running without first obtaining a license from the City of Alliance; providing for the giving of indemnity as security to the public; providing for the filing of the designation of the route intended to be traveled by such vehicle; providing regulations for the conducting of such business and prescribing a penalty for the violation thereof was read the first time and referred to the committee of the whole, with Mr. Hall as chairman.

Introduction of Ord. 94-D

A communication was received from Mr. J. B. Cox relating to the traffic ordinances just introduced, which was read and referred to the committee of the whole.

Communication from J. B. Cox read

On motion of H. T. Miller seconded by Weaver, Council referred back to the fourth order of business, reports of standing committees.

The committee on assessments submitted their report recommending the passage of Ordinance No. 87-D.

Report of Assessment committee

Weaver, J. H. Miller

The report of the committee was agreed to.

The committee on streets and alleys submitted their report recommending the passage of Ordinances 50-D and 92-D.

Report of streets and alleys com.

M. Ryan
J. H. Miller
H. T. Miller

The report of the committee was agreed to.

The committee on sidewalks submitted their report recommending the passage of Ordinances 88-D and 72-D.

report of sidewalks committee

Ryan
J. H. Miller
Weaver

The report of the committee was agreed to.

The Finance committee submitted their report recommending the passage of Ordinances 85-D, 89-D and 73-D.

Report of Finance Committee

Weaver, H. T. Miller

The report of the committee was agreed to.

The Council took up the consideration of the following ordinances and resolutions:

Ordinance No. 87-D

Mr. Weaver:

An ordinance authorizing the City Solicitor to bring an action in Court to reduce the special assessment for paving levied against the east part of lot No. 373 owner by Max and Morris W. Geiger located at the corner of Shunk Avenue and State Street in the City of Alliance, Ohio, was read the second time.

Vote on Ordinance No. 87-D lost

MONDAY EVENING MAY 16th, 1921 (continued)

J. H. Miller moved seconded by Cary that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 87-D be read the third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 5, nays 1. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan and Weaver. Mr. Lower voted in the negative. So the motion not having received the statutory two-thirds majority was lost.

Ordinance No. 50-D

Mr. Cary:

Passage of
Ordinance
No. 50-D

An ordinance to accept the Board of Education and the West Park Realty Co's replat of lots 308,309, and 310 was read the first time. Ryan moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 50-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Resolution No. 38-D

Mr. H. T. Miller:

Vote on of
Ordinance
No. 38-D
lost

Declaring it necessary to improve South Linden Avenue between College Street and Alley north of State Street by grading and constructing sanitary sewer No. 180 was read the second time. H. T. Miller moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Resolution No. 38-D be read the third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 5, nays 1. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Weaver, and Ryan. Mr. Cary voted in the negative. So the motion not having received the statutory two-thirds vote was lost.

Ordinance No. 85-D

Passage of
Ordinance
No. 85-D

H. T. Miller moved seconded by Ryan that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 85-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Resolution No. 86-D

Passage of
Resolution
No. 86-D

Ryan moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Resolution No. 86-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the Resolution read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the Resolution, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the Resolution was passed.

Ordinance No. 92-D

Passage of
Ordinance
No. 92-D

Ryan moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 92-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the Ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the Ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Ordinance No. 72-D

Passage of
Ordinance
No. 72-D

Ryan moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 72-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Ordinance No. 89-D

Passage of
Ordinance
No. 89-D

Lower moved seconded by J. H. Miller that the statutory rules requiring

ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 89-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Ordinance No. 73-D

Passage of Ord. 73-D

H. T. Miller moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and Ordinance No. 73-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Ordinance No. 75-D

Passage of ordinance No. 75-D

Weaver moved seconded by H. T. Miller that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and ordinance No. 75-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Ordinance No. 90-D

Passage of ordinance No. 90-D

J. H. Miller moved seconded by H. T. Miller that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and ordinance No. 75-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

Ordinance No. 88-D

Passage of ordinance No. 88-D

Ryan moved seconded by Weaver that the statutory rules requiring ordinances and resolutions to be read on three different days be suspended and ordinance No. 88-D be read the second and third time. The question being on the suspension of the rules, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the rules were suspended and the ordinance read the second and third time. The question being on the passage of the ordinance, the yeas and nays were taken and resulted yeas 6, nays 0. Those who voted in the affirmative were Messrs. Cary, Lower, H. T. Miller, J. H. Miller, Ryan, and Weaver. So the ordinance was passed.

On motion of H. T. Miller seconded by Lower, Council adjourned.

Attest:

City Auditor

President of Council